Novel algorithms to measure complexity in the human brain and to detect statistically significant complexity-differences

e-Poster:	652
Congress:	ESMRMB 2006
Type:	Scientific Poster
Topic:	Imaging: Processing and Quantification
Authors:	K. Hahn ¹ , K. Sandau ² , S. Prigarin ³ , K. Rodenacker ¹ ; ¹ Neuherberg/DE, ² Darmstadt/DE, ³
	Novosibirsk/RU

Keyword: Fractality, fractal dimension, multiple testing

Any information contained in this pdf file is automatically generated from digital material submitted to EPOS by third parties in the form of scientific presentations. References to any names, marks, products, or services of third parties or hypertext links to third-party sites or information are provided solely as a convenience to you and do not in any way constitute or imply ESMRMB's endorsement, sponsorship or recommendation of the third party, information, product, or service. ESMRMB is not responsible for the content of these pages and does not make any representations regarding the content or accuracy of material in this file.

As per copyright regulations, any unauthorised use of the material or parts thereof as well as commercial reproduction or multiple distribution by any traditional or electronically based reproduction/publication method is strictly prohibited.

You agree to defend, indemnify, and hold ESMRMB harmless from and against any and all claims, damages, costs, and expenses, including attorneys' fees, arising from or related to your use of these pages.

Please note: Links to movies, ppt slideshows and any other multimedia files are not available in the pdf version of presentations. http://www.esmrmb.org

1. Purpose

To measure brain-complexity in segmented MR-images global measures of the fractal dimension (FD), like the density-density correlation or the box-counting method (BCM) are frequently used, where a regression line must be estimated from the data ¹. It was shown by Sandau ² that FDs based on regression methods are sensitive to rotations and to noise. In addition, the basic maximum property of FDs is violated, FD(Set ₁ U Set ₂)=max {FD(Set ₁), FD(Set ₂)}. Therefore, we implemented a more local algorithm reducing the erroneous impact of distortions which is closer to the mathematical concept of a FD ². As second, an advanced statistical method ³ with high power was implemented to test differences of FDs.

2. Methods and Materials

The proposed algorithm for calculation of FD proceeds for fractal data on a grid of voxels in R⁻³ shortly like follows. 1) Define p₋₁ and p₋₂; p₋₁ = -ln(voxelsize), p₋₂ = -ln(windowsize) for a large observation window. 2) Shift the window within the grid and count for every window position j the number N_{-j} of voxels containing the fractal object. 3) FD is estimated by xdim=max_{-j} { ln(N_{-j})/(p₋₁-p₋₂) }.

see: [fig1.jpg]

To detect significant differences of FDs a Monte Carlo procedure was implemented using permutation resampling. Raw and multiplicity adjusted P-values are calculated. This non parametric statistical step-down-method 3 strongly controls the family-wise error, includes all logical restrictions and includes the correlations between the P-values.

3. Results

To demonstrate the advantage of xdim compared to BCM-dim, a plane with blood vessels was analyzed.

see: [fig2.jpg]

In Fig.2 the dimensions for the original, framed, rotated and weakly disturbed data are presented. Evidently, xdim is more robust. An attempt to analyse lobes of a single human cortex malformed by disease is presented in.

see: [fig3.jpg] see: [fig4.jpg]

The cortex was segmented from T1-weighted MR data ¹. The cortex surface between gray and white matter was then extracted by morphological dilation. To produce simple 'lobes', the resulting fractal object was divided into quarters by a central axial and sagittal slice. Xdim was finally calculated for these quarters (2.467, 2.479, 2.418, 2.405) and for four (up-down/left-right) halves (2.479, 2.418, 2.467, 2.479). The raw and adjusted P-values for 8 pairwise FD-differences are shown in Fig. 3. In Fig. 4 for the same 'lobes' the differences of the mean surface densities (mean $_j \{ ln(N_j)/(p_1 - p_2) \}$) are compared.

4. Conclusion

Advantages of xdim are exemplified. A preliminary cortex analysis indicates that the detection of statistically significant FD-differences and of mean surface-densities between individual lobes may be feasible. Critical for resampling tests is the correlation within the samples { N $_j$ j }. This effect is 'minimized' by an alternative sampling of local xdim's for disjoint boxes covering a fractal (see fig1.jpg). A spatial autocorrelation analysis to quantify remaining statistical dependences is in progress.

5. References

- ¹ Kiselev, V.G., Hahn, K.R., Auer, D.P., 2003, NeuroImage, 20, 1765-1774. ² Sandau, K., 1996, Physica A, 1-18.
- ³ Westfall,P.H.,1997,AmStatAss,51/1,3-8.

6. Mediafiles:

fig1.jpg

bcm Definition : Log(Number of Boxes covering the whole fractal) (Log(Box Size)] xdim Definition : Xdim Definition : xdim - max (Nj) xdim Approximation : Xdim Approximation :

disjoint box-contents Nj are weakly (or not) dependent, these Nj may be useful for resampling tests



Stability of "box counting" and of "xdim"

fig3.jpg

Significant differences of xdim between "Lobes" of an individual Cortex



coronal (schematical)

fig4.jpg

Significant differences of the mean complexity between "Lobes" of an individual Cortex

